X. Department of Revenue -- Cathy S. Zeuske, Secretary, Department of Revenue

Pursuant to 1991 Wisconsin Act 60, the Department of Revenue (DOR) requests approval to apply the current temporary recycling surcharge rates for the taxable year that begins on January 1, 1997 and ends December 31, 1997.

Governor's Recommendation

Approve the request.

Date:

December 10, 1996

To:

Members, Joint Committee on Finance

From:

Mark D. Bugher, Secretary

Department of Administration

Subject:

Section 13.10 Request from the Department of Revenue for Approval of the Temporary

Recycling Surcharge Rate for FY1998.

Request

Pursuant to 1991 Wisconsin Act 60, the Department of Revenue (DOR) requests approval to apply the current temporary recycling surcharge rates for the taxable year that begins on January 1, 1997 and ends December 31, 1997.

Background

The 1991-93 biennial budget established the original temporary recycling surcharge rates at 5.5% of gross tax liability for corporations and 0.4345% of net income for non-farm sole proprietorships, partnerships, and S corporations. The minimum surcharge is \$25 and the maximum surcharge is \$9,800. The surcharge is imposed on all non-farm businesses with gross receipts of greater than \$4,000. Non-corporate farm businesses with net farm profits greater than \$1,000 pay a \$25 surcharge.

1991 Wisconsin Act 60 gave the Department of Revenue, with the approval of the Joint Committee on Finance, the authority to annually set the surcharge rate in order to sufficiently fund all currently budgeted appropriations which are funded through the recycling fund. Current law, as established by 1993 Wisconsin Act 16, requires DOR to submit the rate to the committee in time for consideration at its December meeting under s. 13.10. The Joint Committee on Finance may either approve or disapprove DOR's recommendation. As specified in 1993 Wisconsin Act 16, if the committee disapproves DOR's recommendation, the current rate remains in effect.

Since the surcharge was first applied (for the taxable year which ended after April 1, 1991), the rates have remained unchanged. The Department of Revenue maintains that the collections arising from current rates will be adequate to fund recycling appropriations in FY97.

The recycling surcharge rate collection is scheduled to sunset for tax years ending after April 1, 1999. For tax years that end before April 1, 1999, the Department of Revenue, with the approval of the Joint Committee on Finance, is required to set the surcharge rate. DOR will establish the surcharge rate in December of 1996, 1997, and 1998.

Members, joint Committee on Finance December 10, 1996 Page 2

<u>Analysis</u>

In order to ensure that expenditures do not exceed the closing balance of the fund, an adjustment to the recycling fund surcharge rate should not be made at this time. Under s. 77.945 of the Wis Stats., the Department of Revenue is required to estimate the amount of revenue necessary to fund all appropriations for the following fiscal year from the recycling fund. The estimated revenues for FY97 total \$42.7 million while total expenditures from the fund, including all known carryover encumbrances, could total \$64.5 million. If revenues are realized as projected and all appropriations are fully spent, the closing balance for FY97 will be \$11,724,200.

The temporary recycling surcharge rate is set to expire for taxable years ending on (or after) April 1, 1999. Potential adjustments to the surcharge rate should be deferred until the fund's closing balance for FY99 has been determined with greater certainty.

TABLE: Projected Recycling Fund Condition at Current Surcharge Rates on June 30, 1999.

	· -	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99
I.	Opening Fund Balance	54,864,400	33,594,500	11,724,200	16,734,800
· II.	Revenues				
**.	Recycling Surcharge	41.578,300	41,500,000	41,500,000	41,500,000
	Interest Earnings	1.899.200	1,160,500	500,000	500,000
	Refund of Expenditures	565,400		-	-
	Other	10,600	10,600	10,600	10,600
Ш.	Total Revenues	44.053,500	42,671,100	42,010,600	42,010,600
Total Available		98.917,900	76,265,600	53,734,800	58,745,400
IV.	Expenditures				
	Appropriations	44.741.700	42,697,400	37,000,000	30,600,000
	Transfer to General Fund	21,100,000			
	Carryover Encumbrances		21,844,000	-	-
Tota	al Expenditures	65.841.700	64,541,400	37.000,000	30,600,000
Clos	sing Balance	33,594,500	11.724,200	16,734,800	28,145,400
V.	Reserves for Encumbrances & Cont. Appropriations	23.584,000			
Unreserved Balance		10,010,500	11,724,200	16,734,800	28,145,400

Members, joint Committee on Finance December 10, 1996 Page 3

Recommendation

Approve the request.

Prepared by: Michelle New

266-8593



State of Wisconsin • DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

125 SOUTH WEBSTER STREET ● P.O. BOX 8933 ● MADISON, WISCONSIN 53708-8933 ● 608-266-6466 ● FAX (608) 266-5718

Tommy G. Thompson Governor

Mark D. Bugher Secretary of Revenue

December 2, 1996

The Honorable Brian Burke, Co-Chair Joint Committee on Finance 100 North Hamilton, Room 302 Madison, WI 53702

The Honorable Ben Brancel, Co-Chair Joint Committee on Finance 119 Martin Luther King Drive, Suite L4 Madison, WI 53702

Dear Senator Burke and Representative Brancel:

Sec. 77.945, Wis. Stats., requires the Department of Revenue to establish temporary recycling surcharge rates necessary to generate sufficient revenues to fund scheduled appropriations from the segregated recycling fund in the following fiscal year. The Department is required to submit these rates to the Joint Committee on Finance in time for consideration at its December "13.10" meeting.

The Department of Revenue recommends that the current surcharge rates remain unchanged for tax year 1996 to fund fiscal year 1997 appropriations. The current surcharge rates are 5.5% of gross tax liability for regular corporations, and 0.4345% of net business income for nonfarm sole proprietorships, partnerships, limited liability companies taxable as partnerships, and tax-option corporations. The surcharge is imposed on all nonfarm businesses with gross receipts greater than \$4,000, with a minimum surcharge of \$25 and a maximum surcharge of \$9,800. Noncorporate farm businesses with net farm profits greater than \$1,000 pay a \$25 surcharge; those with less than \$1,000 net farm profit are exempt from the surcharge.

The closing fund balance at the end of FY 1996 was \$33.6 million. It is estimated that the addition of surcharge revenues and interest earned during FY 1997 will leave \$77.8 million available for spending from the recycling fund in FY 1997. The expenditures from the fund in FY 1997 are expected to be \$67.3 million, including \$24.8 million in encumbered amounts from FY 1996 and \$42.5 million in scheduled appropriations. The estimated FY 1997 closing fund balance is \$10.5 million.

The following table shows the estimated recycling fund balance, including estimated fund revenues and appropriated amounts, through FY 1999.

The Honorable Brian Burke, Co-Chair The Honorable Ben Brancel, Co-Chair December 2, 1996 Page 2

TABLE 1 Estimated Recycling Fund Balance At Current Surcharge Rates

Fiscal Years 1996 through 1999 (\$ Millions)

Fiscal Year	Fund Balance	Surcharge Revenue	Other Revenue*	Total Revenue	Appropriations**	Closing Fund Balance
1996***	54.9	41.6	3.0	99.4	(65.8)	33.6
1997	33.6	42.5	1.7	77.8	(67.3)	10.5
1998	10.5	42.6	0.5	53.6	(37.0)	16.5
1999	16.5	43.9	0.8	61.2	(30.4)	30.8

* Includes interest earned. In FY 1996, also includes refunds of certain expenditures, and other revenues.

*** Actual

While the closing balance in the fund at the end of FY 1996 was significant, the scheduled appropriations from the fund should greatly reduce the fund balance at the end of FY 1997. Recommending a downward rate adjustment at this time would probably be inappropriate; rather, any rate adjustment would be better made sometime in the next few years, as the date for the scheduled sunset of the surcharge approaches (the surcharge will no longer be imposed for taxable years ending on or after April 1, 1999) and the closing fund balance during those years becomes more certain.

If the Committee needs any further information as they review this recommendation, please do not

hesitate to contact me.

14.1

Sincerely

Mark D. Bugher Secretary of Revenue

MDB:PW:skr f:\secltr\pw\recyrate.ltr

cc: Members, Joint Committee on Finance
James R. Klauser, Department of Administration
Robert W. Lang, Legislative Fiscal Bureau
Michelle New, Department of Administration
Barbara Schultz, Department of Natural Resources
Diane Hardt
Eng Braun

^{**} Includes reserves for prior year encumberances (less an estimated \$1.7 million lapse in FY 1997 for withdrawn projects) and continuing and biennial appropriations, and a one-time \$21.1 million transfer to general fund in FY 1996.



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608)	BURKE	(\mathbf{Y})	N	A
· •	ANDREA	Ÿ	N	A
	GEORGE	Y	N	Ø
	DECKER		N	Ā
e de la companya de	JAUCH	(A)	N	A
	WINEKE	(M)	N	Α
	WEEDEN	(A)	N	Α
	COWLES	(4)	N	A
December 16, 1996				
2500moor 10, 1990	BRANCEL	(X)	N	Α
	FOTI	00	N	Α
	SCHNEIDERS	\mathcal{Q}	N	Α
	OURADA	Ø.	N	Α
	HARSDORF	\mathfrak{P}	N	Α
	PORTER	(P)	N	Α

TO:

Members

Joint Committee on Finance

FROM:

Bob Lang, Director

AYE 13 NO ()_ ABS

SUBJECT: University of Wisconsin--Section 13.10 Transfer of Proceeds from the Sale of

Surplus Land--Agenda Item XI

The University of Wisconsin System requests the transfer of \$132,300 from the sale of the Shepard House at UW-Milwaukee.

BACKGROUND

Prior to the 1995-97 budget, proceeds from the sale of surplus land were applied to agency debt service payments. For properties where the debt had already been retired or never existed, the proceeds were used to pay off the agency's most costly outstanding debt supported by the same appropriation source as the liquidated property.

To give agencies more incentive to dispose of surplus properties, 1995 Wisconsin Act 27 (the 1995-97 budget) modified the use of funds received from the sale of surplus property. Under Act 27, these monies, after paying off outstanding bonds used to finance that property, are deposited in the Joint Finance Committee's appropriation for release to the agency or the Building Commission. If the property was used by a single agency, not more than 50% of these funds can be released by the Committee upon the request of the agency to supplement any agency appropriation, except a sum sufficient appropriation, without a finding by the Committee under s. 13.10 that an emergency need exists. Similarly, upon the request of the Building Commission, the Committee can transfer the remaining funds, depending on the amount of funds released to the agency, to the building trust fund, without a finding of an emergency.

Through November 26, 1996, \$1,056,000 associated with sale of nine properties has been deposited to the Committee's appropriation, for eventual release to the agencies involved and to the

Building Commission. The requests for transfer of surplus land sale proceeds in Agenda Items XI and VI represent the first requests received by the Committee under this provision of the statutes.

Under current law, the sale of surplus land having a fair market value of at least \$20,000 requires the approval of the Building Commission and the Joint Committee on Finance. In this case, the sale of the Shepard House at the UW-Milwaukee was approved by the Building Commission on April 8, 1996, and by the Committee under a passive review process on October 18, 1996. Net proceeds from the sale totalling \$264,600 were deposited in the Committee's appropriation.

ANALYSIS

The UW System has indicated that the \$132,300 would be used by UW-Milwaukee for classroom improvements and instructional equipment. The UW-Milwaukee has begun to refurnish some of the campus' older classroom as part of a classroom renovation project. The long-term goal for the project is to provide new furniture, perform minor maintenance such as painting and replacing window shades and acquire some audio-visual equipment for approximately 184 of the 215 classrooms on campus. UW-Milwaukee began the project in the summer of 1996 using approximately \$100,000 in institutional funds for minor maintenance for 40 classrooms and furniture for 20 classrooms.

According to staff at the UW-Milwaukee, the majority of the funds in this request would be used to refurnish some of the campus' older classrooms as part of this ongoing classroom renovation project. The campus plans to use the majority of the \$132,300 to purchase tablet-arm chairs and regular chairs and tables for student use as well as tables and chairs for instructor use. UW-Milwaukee estimates that 25 to 30 classrooms could be refurnished using these funds at a cost of approximately \$4,800 per room. In addition, some of the monies may be used to purchase equipment such as overhead projectors and screens for classroom use.

If the Committee does not approve the UW-Milwaukee request, the funds associated with the sale of the Shepard House property would remain in the Committee's appropriation. Further, if no subsequent requests for use of these funds by UW-Milwaukee or the Building Commission are approved during the biennium, the funds would lapse from the Committee's biennial appropriation to the general fund on June 30, 1997.

CONCLUSION

Since the proposed expenditures by the UW-Milwaukee appear to be a reasonable use of the one-time funding generated by the sale of the Shepard House, the Committee may wish to approve the UW's request to transfer \$132,300 from the Committee's s.20.865(4)(a) appropriation to the UW's s.20.285(1)(iz) appropriation for use by the UW-Milwaukee.

Prepared by: Al Runde and Merry Larsen



Legislative Fiscal Bureau One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703

Staff Recommendation

Fragort

Conclusion

TO:

Members

Joint Committee on Finance

FROM:

Bob Lang, Director

SUBJECT: University of Wisconsin--Section 13.10 Transfer of Proceeds from the Sale of

Surplus Land--Agenda Item XI

The University of Wisconsin System requests the transfer of \$132,300 from the sale of the Shepard House at UW-Milwaukee.

BACKGROUND

Prior to the 1995-97 budget, proceeds from the sale of surplus land were applied to agency debt service payments. For properties where the debt had already been retired or never existed, the proceeds were used to pay off the agency's most costly outstanding debt supported by the same appropriation source as the liquidated property.

To give agencies more incentive to dispose of surplus properties, 1995 Wisconsin Act 27 (the 1995-97 budget) modified the use of funds received from the sale of surplus property. Under Act 27, these monies, after paying off outstanding bonds used to finance that property, are deposited in the Joint Finance Committee's appropriation for release to the agency or the Building Commission. If the property was used by a single agency, not more than 50% of these funds can be released by the Committee upon the request of the agency to supplement any agency appropriation, except a sum sufficient appropriation, without a finding by the Committee under s. 13.10 that an emergency need exists. Similarly, upon the request of the Building Commission, the Committee can transfer the remaining funds, depending on the amount of funds released to the agency, to the building trust fund, without a finding of an emergency.

Through November 26, 1996, \$1,056,000 associated with sale of nine properties has been deposited to the Committee's appropriation, for eventual release to the agencies involved and to the

Building Commission. The requests for transfer of surplus land sale proceeds in Agenda Items XI and VI represent the first requests received by the Committee under this provision of the statutes.

Under current law, the sale of surplus land having a fair market value of at least \$20,000 requires the approval of the Building Commission and the Joint Committee on Finance. In this case, the sale of the Shepard House at the UW-Milwaukee was approved by the Building Commission on April 8, 1996, and by the Committee under a passive review process on October 18, 1996. Net proceeds from the sale totalling \$264,600 were deposited in the Committee's appropriation.

ANALYSIS

The UW System has indicated that the \$132,300 would be used by UW-Milwaukee for classroom improvements and instructional equipment. The UW-Milwaukee has begun to refurnish some of the campus' older classroom as part of a classroom renovation project. The long-term goal for the project is to provide new furniture, perform minor maintenance such as painting and replacing window shades and acquire some audio-visual equipment for approximately 184 of the 215 classrooms on campus. UW-Milwaukee began the project in the summer of 1996 using approximately \$100,000 in institutional funds for minor maintenance for 40 classrooms and furniture for 20 classrooms.

According to staff at the UW-Milwaukee, the majority of the funds in this request would be used to refurnish some of the campus' older classrooms as part of this ongoing classroom renovation project. The campus plans to use the majority of the \$132,300 to purchase tablet-arm chairs and regular chairs and tables for student use as well as tables and chairs for instructor use. UW-Milwaukee estimates that 25 to 30 classrooms could be refurnished using these funds at a cost of approximately \$4,800 per room. In addition, some of the monies may be used to purchase equipment such as overhead projectors and screens for classroom use.

If the Committee does not approve the UW-Milwaukee request, the funds associated with the sale of the Shepard House property would remain in the Committee's appropriation. Further, if no subsequent requests for use of these funds by UW-Milwaukee or the Building Commission are approved during the biennium, the funds would lapse from the Committee's biennial appropriation to the general fund on June 30, 1997.

CONCLUSION

Since the proposed expenditures by the UW-Milwaukee appear to be a reasonable use of the one-time funding generated by the sale of the Shepard House, the Committee may wish to approve the UW's request to transfer \$132,300 from the Committee's s.20.865(4)(a) appropriation to the UW's s.20.285(1)(iz) appropriation for use by the UW-Milwaukee.

Prepared by: Al Runde and Merry Larsen



Memorandum

TO: Senate Democrats

FROM: Senate Democratic Caucus RE: JFC/13.10 Meeting on 12/16/96

DATE: 12/17/96

Of the items taken up during JFC yesterday, our office thought that you would find of interest the welfare reform information.

Request for Expenditure of Federal Welfare Block Grant Funds: Item IX(A) of the LFB papers.

JFC voted to (a) modify the Governor's request to increase funding for AFDC benefits by \$2,415,100.

This option reflects a decrease in funding due to lower caseloads and benefit levels, offset by an increase in funding due to a revision in the availability of the federal share of the child support collections on behalf of AFDC families. With this change, funding for AFDC benefits would increase from \$222,584,900 to \$225,000,000.

- (b) Increase funding for state administration by \$482,900 to include current funding for fraud and front-end verification that was inadvertently omitted from the Governor's request. With this change, funding for state administration would increase from \$21,494,700 to \$21,977,600.
- (c) Direct DWD and DOA to notify the Committee when information is available regarding which state expenditures may be included under the federal temporary assistance to needy families (TANF) maintenance of effort requirements.

These are alternatives 2,a &c and 5 from the LFB paper Item IX(A) and the vote was 13-0 for passage. (see attachment 1)

Senator Wineke made a motion for alternative 4 to direct the Department to retain the current copayment schedule for child care for the remainder of the 1996-97 fiscal year and increase the amount of funding for low-income child care by \$5,000,000. Under this option, funding for low-income child care would increase from \$41,940,200 to \$46,940,200.

This motion failed 5-8.

Senator Jauch made a motion to (a)direct the Department to notify the Committee if a waiting list occurs and (b)to direct the Department to request more funding to fund everyone on the waiting list.

This motion was split into two parts and (a) passed 13-0, (b) failed.

Senator Jauch had a motion, #5007, which also failed 5-8, party-line. (see attachment 2)

Also, <u>Notification of Proposed Modifications to the AFDC Program</u>: agenda item IX(B) was not taken up. The co-chairs announced that they will be sending a letter to DWD to ask whether the Governor or the Committee has the authority to make policy modifications without statutory authority.

Senator Andrea was absent due to health reasons. Senator George and Representative Linton were also absent.

amount of funding by \$2.0 million over the Governor's proposal and decrease the balance that could be carried forward to the 1997-99 biennium from \$85.0 million to \$83.0 million.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. Approve the Governor's proposal for expenditure of the federal TANF and child care block grants, along with existing state appropriations for public assistance programs, in 1996-97, as outlined in Table 1.
 - 2. Modify the Governor's request to:
- a. Increase funding for AFDC benefits by \$2,415,100. This option reflects a decrease in funding due to lower caseloads and benefit levels, offset by an increase in funding due to a revision in the availability of the federal share of the child support collections on behalf of AFDC families. With this change, funding for AFDC benefits would increase from \$222,584,900 to \$225,000,000.
- b. Decrease funding for kinship care assessments by \$876,800, based on a reestimate of the cost of conducting the assessments. With this change, kinship care funding would decrease from \$1,851,100 to \$974,300.
- c. Increase funding for state administration by \$482,900 to include current funding for fraud and front-end verification that was inadvertently omitted from the Governor's request. With this change, funding for state administration would increase from \$21,494,700 to \$21,977,600.
- 3. Direct the Department to retain the current copayment schedule for child care for the remainder of the 1996-97 fiscal year and provide \$41,940,200 for low-income child care. This is the same amount as proposed by the Governor.
- 4. Direct the Department to retain the current copayment schedule for child care for the remainder of the 1996-97 fiscal year and increase the amount of funding for low-income child care by \$5,000,000. Under this option, funding for low-income child care would increase from of \$41,940,200 to \$46,940,200.
- 5. Direct DWD and DOA to notify the Committee when information is available regarding which state expenditures may be included under the federal TANF maintenance of effort requirements.



Expenditure of Federal TANF Funds

Metion:

Specify that unexpended federal funds under the temporary assistance to needy families (TANF) program may be used only to fund benefits and administration of the Wisconsin Works program and the AFDC and child care programs and may not be used to directly or indirectly supplant existing state appropriations.

Note:

Under the Governor's proposed expenditure plan for 1996-97, an estimated ending balance of \$85 million in federal TANF funds would be carried over to the 1997-99 biennium. This motion would specify that unexpended funding from the TANF block grant could not be used to supplant existing state appropriations.

Jovernor's,

a in federal TA

Juld specify that une.

Jant existing state approp

5-8 Fail 5

Weeden, Cowles,

Riphology

Brancel

Riphology

All Demy

Cowles,

Riphology

Riph



Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

December 13, 1996

TO:

Senator Brian Burke

Representative Ben Brancel

Co-chairs, Joint Committee on Finance

FROM:

Bob Lang, Director

SUBJECT: Exchange of Exxon Oil Overcharge Restitution Funds for "Stripper I & II" Oil

Overcharge Restitution Funds

At its September 26, 1996, s. 13.10 meeting, the Committee approved an allocation plan for the use of "Stripper XVII" oil overcharge restitution funds. As part of that action, the Committee approved the exchange of \$1,100,000 of previously allocated Exxon funds for an equivalent amount of the "Stripper XVII" funds.

This exchange was proposed so that "Stripper" monies could be used as a state match for federal Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) funds. Under the terms of the federal court order governing the use of Exxon funds, these monies retain their federal character and may not be used as state funds for the purpose of matching federal dollars. In contrast, however, under the terms of the court orders governing the distribution of "Stripper" funds, there is no such limitation once the state receives the "Stripper" allocations. Consequently, the "Stripper" funds may be used by the state to match additional federal dollars.

In approving this exchange at its September 26, 1996, meeting, the Committee also directed the Department of Administration to report to the Committee at its December, 1996, s. 13.10 meeting whether there were any other unexpended, previously allocated Exxon funds which could be exchanged with other unexpended "Stripper" funds to further achieve this goal.

On December 12, 1996, the Co-chairs received a letter (dated December 10, 1996) from the Secretary of DOA which identified an additional \$1,018,460 of previously allocated Exxon funds which could be exchanged for an equivalent amount of "Stripper I and II" balances currently available in the on-going Institutional Conservation Program. This program provides energy conservation assistance to schools and hospitals in the state. These specific Exxon funds had originally been allocated under LIHEAP to the Special Needs Program and the Emergency

Furnace Repair and Replacement Program, both targeted to AFDC recipients. Since the proposed exchange would require the Committee to modify previously approved oil overcharge allocation plans, s. 14.065(5) of the statutes requires the Committee to effect the changes under s. 13.10. If these funds were to be exchanged by the Committee and added to the \$1,100,000 previously exchanged in September, the Department estimates that a total of \$3,026,042 federal funds could be matched under these two LIHEAP programs.

Both of these targeted programs ended on August 22, 1996, with the passage of federal welfare legislation. The Department indicates that the federal Department of Energy normally permits accounting adjustments to these programs for at least a year following the end of either the federal fiscal year or the termination of the programs and is likely (but is not required) to approve the retroactive exchange of funds. Thus, while it does not appear to be essential that the Committee act at its December 16, 1996, meeting under s. 13.10 to effect the exchange, DOA believes that the likelihood of federal approval of the funds exchange would be enhanced if the Committee acted as soon as possible on the matter rather than to delay it into 1997.

This letter should, at a minimum, be received as an additional report under the Reports section of its s. 13.10 agenda for the December 13, 1996, meeting. Alternatively, the Co-chairs could add the item to the Committee's agenda at the meeting on December 16, 1996, and take action on the request at that time.

BL/TM/sas

cc: Members, Joint Committee on Finance

BURKE ANDREA **GEORGE** DECKER **JAUCH** WINEKE WEEDEN **COWLES** BRANCEL FOTI **SCHNEIDERS** OURADA **HARSDORF** PORTER LINTON COGGS AYE 13 NO 0 ABS 3

ADMINISTRATION

Exchange of Exxon Oil Overcharge Restitution Funds for "Stripper I & II" Oil Overcharge Restitution Funds

Motion:

Move to amend the Committee's previous allocation of Exxon oil overcharge restitution funds by exchanging \$1,018,461 of Exxon funds with an equivalent amount of "Stripper I & II" oil overcharge restitution fund balances in order to permit the use of the "Stripper" funds as a state match for federal Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) funds.

Note:

At the Committee's September 26, 1996, s. 13.10 meeting, \$1,100,000 of Exxon monies were exchanged with an equivalent amount of "Stripper XVII" funds to permit the use of "Stripper" funds as a state match for federal LIHEAP monies. Under current procedures, EXXON funds may not be used to match federal funds but "Stripper" funds may be used for this purpose.

As part of the Committee's September 26, 1996, action authorizing the exchange, the Department of Administration was directed to identify any additional previously allocated Exxon monies that could be exchanged with unexpended "Stripper" funds in order to match federal funds. A December 10, 1996, report by the Department has identified an additional \$1,018,460 of Exxon funds that could be similarly exchanged with "Stripper" unspent funds to match additional federal dollars.

This motion would amend previous Exxon and "Stripper I & II" allocation plans to effect the exchange as identified in the Department of Administration's December 10, 1996, report to the Committee.

The Members, Joint Committee on Finance September 9, 1996 Page 3

VI. Department of Health and Family Services -- Tom Alt, Administrator, Division of Care and Treatment Facilities

The department, acting under s. 13.101(13)(b), requests the transfer of \$279,300 from appropriation s. 20.865(4)(a), the Joint Committee on Finance supplemental appropriation, to s. 20.435(2)(a), the Division of Care and Treatment Facilities (DCTF) general operations appropriation, from the sale of land at DCTF facilities. Part of the funds will be used to reduce the deficit at the mental health institutes and the balance will be used for information technology improvements at all DCTF facilities.

VII. <u>Department of Workforce Development</u> -- Jean Rogers, Division Administrator, Division of Economic Support

The department requests the transfer of \$6,405,700 GPR in 1996-97 from the Committee's appropriation under s. 20.865(4)(a) to the appropriation under s. 20.445(3)(a) in the Department of Workforce Development for the operation of the Kids Information Data System (KIDS).

Reports

R-1 Expenses for Committees Created by Law or Executive Order

R-2 Department of Administration Position Reports Required under s. 16.50.

Attachment

JOINT COMMITTEE ON FINANCE APPROPRIATION SUMMARY

[Updated through November 26, 1996]

	1995-96	1996-97	1995-97 Biennium
Biennial Appropriation Amount [s. 20.865(4)(a)]	\$11,821,600	\$34,382,500	\$46,204,100
Reserved For:			
H&SS CARES Computer System for Econ. Support Progs.	\$1,587,000	\$1,796,600	\$3,383,600
H&SS KIDS Computer System for Child Support Enforcement	5,759,200	7,522,100	13,281,300
H&SS AFDC Consolidated Child Care	870,900	885,700	1,756,600
H&SS Child Care Administration	60,300	65,800	126,100
H&SS AFDC Self-Initiated Child Care	76,400	63,600	140,000
WCC Minimum Wage Increases	150,000	0	150,000
WI Institute for School Executives Payments	125,000	125,000	250,000
H&SS Community Options Program Data Collection	50,000	0	50,000
H&SS Self Suffic. First/Pay for Perf. Waivers (Act 12)	2,337,000	2,986,600	5,323,600
H&SS W2 Implementation (Act 289)	0	13,000,000	13,000,000
DOC Intergovernmental Corrections (Act 416)	0	2,073,800	2,073,800
DOC Intensive Sanctions (Act 416)	0	937,900	937,900
DOC Secure Work Program (Act 416)	0	183,800	183,800 4,051,400
Milwaukee County Child Welfare Services (Act 303)	0	4,051,400	791,600
Public Land Sales Reserve	453,600	602,500	\$45,499,700
Sub-total Reserved Balance	\$11,469,400	\$34,294,800	\$45,433,700
Releases from Reserved Balance	\$2,316,400	\$O	\$2,316,400
KIDS Computer System for Child Support Enforcement (10/26/95)	2,274,300	2,986,600	5,260,900
Self Suffic. First/Pay For Perf. Waivers (12/12/95)	0	50,000	50,000
H&SS Community Options Program Data Collection (4/16/96)	Ö	62,700	62,700
H&SS Self Suffic. First/Pay for Perf. Waivers (4/16/96)	250,000	0	250,000
WI Institute for School Executives Payments (4/16/96)	0	4,303,200	4,303,200
DILHR KIDS Computer System (6/27/96)	Ō	2,073,800	2,073,800
DOC Intergovernmental Corrections (9/26/96)	0	937,900	937,900
DOC Intensive Sanctions (9/26/96)	0	150,000	150,000
WCC Minimum Wage Increases (9/26/95) Total Releases	\$4,840,700	\$10,564,200	\$15,404,900
Remaining Reserved Balance	\$6,628,700	\$23,730,600	\$30,094,800
•	\$352,200	\$87,700	\$439,900
Net Unreserved Balance Available	\$352,200	7077.00	
Releases from Unreserved Balance	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$20,000
Judicial Commission-Judicial Council Meeting Expense (12/12/95)	56,900	0	56,900
UW System/UW-Extension Pay Plan Funding (12/12/95)	0	137,300	137,300
H&SS COP Data Collection (4/16/96)	85,400	0	85,400
HEAB Academic Excellence Scholarships (4/16/96)	0	78,900	78,900
DPI HEAB Secretary Project Position (6/27/96)	Ō	430,200	430,200
DHFS TPR Prosecution in Milwaukee Co. (6/27/96)	27,000	17,700	44,700
Courts Court Interpreter Reimbursement (7/10/96) Total Releases	\$179,300	\$674,100	\$853,400
Transfers to Committee's Appropriation			
From DHSS s.20.435(2)(b) (6/27/96)	\$0	\$518,600	\$518,600
From DOC s.20.410(1)(a) (6/27/96)	\$0	\$104,700	\$104,700
Net Unreserved Balance Remaining	\$172,900	\$36,900	\$209,800
TOTAL AVAILABLE (Net Reserved & Unreserved Balance Remaining)	\$6,801,600	\$23,767,500	\$30,304,600

Informational Paper

AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN

#45

Informational Paper

Informational Paper Informational Paper

STATE OF WISCONSIN, LEGISLATIVE FISCAL BUREAU JANUARY, 1995

Informational Paper